PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:	·. ·			PCI				
-	see form F	PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
			ĺ	(F	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
	nternational application No. International filing da PCT/GB2004/001607 09.04.2004			lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 14.04.2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C10M175/00, F16N39/06, B01D39/20, B01J20/20								
Applicant SELSDON, Leslie Donald								
1.	This opinion co	ntains indicati	ons relating to the foll	owing items:				
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op	oinion					
	☑ Box No. II	Priority						
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventi	e step and industrial applicability			
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of						
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; c	tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanation	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such stat	novelty, inventive step or industrial tement			
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum						
			s in the international app					
	☐ Box No. VIII	VIII Certain observations on the international application						
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.								
1								

Cubas Alcaraz, J

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-324

European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840

18/552907

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001607

JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 1 3 OCT 2005

	٠.٠		5020 1100 d 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 2005
	Box I	No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	With the la	regar ingua	d to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ige in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	l:	angua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search r Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With neces	regar ssary	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of	material:
		as	sequence listing
		tat	ple(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	rmat o	of material:
		l in	written format .
		l in	computer readable form
	c. tim	ne of	filing/furnishing:
) co	ntained in the international application as filed.
] file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.
] fu	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		has b copie	dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oppriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	itional	I comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/001607

•	j. J								
	Box	No. II	Priority						
1.	☐ The following document has not been furnished:								
•		⊠	copy of the earlier appl	ication	whose priori	ty has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).			
			translation of the earlie	r appli	cation whose	priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).			
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
2.		☐ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.	3. Additional observations, if necessary:								
	Box	k No. V ustrial a	Reasoned statemen	t undo	er Rule 43 <i>bi</i> : xplanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or supporting such statement			
<u> </u>		tement							
	Nov	velty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	16, 19, 20 1-15, 17, 18, 21, 22			
	Inventive step (IS)		tep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-22			
	Ind	ustrial a	pplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-22			

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/001607

JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 1 3 OCT 2003

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5679251 D2: EP-A-0416908 D3: US-A-5225081 D4: US-A-4645597

2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses a filter suitable for cleaning engine oil (col. 1, line 40-col. 4, line 30; figures 1-6). The filtering material comprises supported active carbon powder. It is obvioust that, in operation, the filtering material has to be introduced in a vessel provided with oil inlet and outlet. It has to be pointed out that the nature of the pollutants to be removed is not relevant for the analysis of novelty of the filter. Thus, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new regarding the disclosure of D1.

Moreover, also documents D2 (col. 1, lines 12-54; col. 4, line 51-col. 5, line 17; col. 5, line 40-col. 7, line 29; figures 1 and 2), D3 (col. 1, line 58-col. 2, line 12; col. 2, lines 53-61; col. 12, line 52-col. 13, line 41; figures 5, 6; example 1) and D4 (col. 2, lines 43-51; col. 4, linew 20-38; figures 1 and 9) describe filters suitable for cleaning engine oil comprising supported active carbon.

3. Dependent claims 2-22 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step. The subject-matter of those claims has been already described in the documents D1-D4 (1-15, 17,18 21 and 22) or relate to design options obvious for the skilled person (16, 19 and 20).